

COMPETITIVE STATUS OF THE BELL OPERATING COMPANIES

HEARING

BEFORE THE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON TELECOMMUNICATIONS,
CONSUMER PROTECTION, AND FINANCE

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NINETY-NINTH CONGRESS

SECOND SESSION

ON

H.R. 3687 and H.R. 3800

BILLS TO PERMIT THE BELL OPERATING COMPANIES TO PROVIDE INFORMATION SERVICES AND TO MANUFACTURE TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT SO LONG AS SUCH SERVICES AND MANUFACTURING ARE NOT SUBSIDIZED WITH THE PROCEEDS FROM THE PROVISION OF LOCAL EXCHANGE TELEPHONE SERVICE OR OTHER REGULATED TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES AND REGULATION BY THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

MARCH 13, 1986

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

Serial No. 99-124



Printed for the use of the Committee on Energy and Commerce

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
66-318 O-2 of the Department of the Interior and U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1986

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office
Washington, DC 20402

LIBRARY

CONTENTS

Text of:	Page
H.R. 3687.....	3
H.R. 3800.....	11
Testimony of:	
Birck, Michael, president, United States Telecommunications Supplier Association.....	826
Blau, Joel, on behalf of National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates.....	601
Coackley, Robert, department manager, HP Laboratories, Hewlett-Packard Co.....	409
DeMuth, Lawrence W., executive vice president and general counsel, U.S. West.....	174
Dickemper, Robert A., vice president, Government and Industry Affairs, Southwestern Bell Corp.....	571
Geller, Henry, Washington Center for Public Policy Research.....	276
Ginsburg, Douglas H., Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice.....	56
Horn, Carl E., senior vice president, Americitech.....	552
Joyce, Rodney L., Acting Assistant Secretary, Communications and Information, National Telecommunications Information Administration, Department of Commerce.....	72
Kimmelman, Gene, legislative director, Consumer Federation of America.....	277
Levy, Paul F., chairman, Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities.....	214
Long, William, chairman, Michigan Public Service Commission.....	589
McBee, Gary, vice president of Federal regulations, Pacific Telesis Group.....	184
McDonnell, John J., Jr., group vice president, Information and Telecommunications Technologies Group, Electronic Industries Association.....	815
McLernon, Lawrence A., chairman, National Telecommunications Network.....	854
Martz, Uzal H., Jr., American Newspaper Publisher Association.....	232
Onstad, Phillip C., member, domestic communications committee, chairman, international communications committee, Association of Data Processing Services Organizations.....	223
Wakeman, Charles B., president, Siecorm Corp.....	843
Materials submitted for the record by:	
American Cellular Network Corp., statement.....	898
American Telephone & Telegraph Co., statement.....	885
Associated Telephone Answering Exchanges, Inc., statement.....	906
Bell Atlantic Corp., statement.....	942
Bell South Corp., statement.....	961
Call-It Co., statement.....	447
Conklin Instrument Corp., statement.....	996
Federal Communications Commission:	
Letter, dated March 12, 1986, from Mark S. Fowler to Hon. Al Swift re concerns that have been raised with regard to the entry of the Bell Operating Co. into competitive enterprises.....	19
Letter, dated March 12, 1986, from Mark S. Fowler to Hon. John D. Dingell re types of ratepayer protection from cross-subsidy of deregulated services that the Commission is considering.....	22
GTE-Telephone Operating Co., statement.....	1000
Independent Data Communications Manufacturers Association, Inc., statement.....	1015
Information Industry Association, letter to Chairman Wirth, March 12, 1986, legislative proposals now being considered.....	1011
Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, statement.....	1013

	Page
Materials submitted for the record by—Continued	
Justice Department, letter, dated October 2, 1986, from Douglas Ginsberg to John Dingell re responses to April 21, 1986 letter.....	110
Michigan Department of Commerce, letter, dated April 2, 1986 from William Long to John Dingell re entry of Bell Operating Co. into competitive enterprises and lines of business currently prohibited by the FCC.....	881
New England Conference of Public Utilities Commissioners, Inc., letter to Luin Fitch, Department of Justice, November 18, 1985.....	444
North American Telecommunications Association, statement.....	1023
Nynex Corp., statement.....	1040
Pacific Telesis Group, letter, dated April 10, 1986 from Gary McBee to Chairman Wirth re Hon. Thomas J. Bliley, Jr., requested Pacific Telesis submit information on "Dial-It" ("976") services.....	415
Rochester Telephone Corp., letter, dated April 4, 1986 from Josephine Trubek to William Bodenlos re reply to certain testimony of Joel Blau of NASUCA.....	879
Telecommunications, Consumer Protection, and Finance Subcommittee: Letter, dated March 26, 1986 from Chairman Wirth to the Bell Operating Companies re asking for comments on three questions contained in letter.....	351
Letter, dated April 21, 1986, from Mr. Dingell to Douglas Ginsburg re questions to be answered by the Department.....	98
Replies to March 26, 1986 letter from the following BOC's:	
American Information Technologies.....	358
Bell Atlantic.....	359
Bell South Corp.....	362
NYNEX.....	365
Pacific Telesis Group.....	369
Southwestern Bell Corp.....	409
U S West, Inc.....	412
Telocator Network of America, statement.....	1050

...erating pursuant to terms of ve
...ed MOU contracts.

...erating pursuant to terms of
...ed MOU contracts. Mouncais
...id Mobile Telephone reached
...tion which provides that the
...will enter into negotia-
...This stipulation will be
...id to include all RCCs.

...land Telephone did not pro-
...include RCCs in its access
...carried. MOU terms contained
...provisions are in progress.

...low announced intentions to ef-
...the Cap and RCC business
...mainly long ago, but no pro-
...has been initiated. An
...inc-similar to the D.C. agree-
...being finalized.

...association reached agreement
...Northwest Bell
...ement similar to the D.C.
...being finalized.

...reached an agreement of
...under the terms of the MOU
...January 1988. The variables
...MOU will be changed in
...ing with Wisconsin Bell
...Chicago
...include to operate pursuant to
...agreements.

...MOU
...of 204
...03000

ATTACHMENT B

CELLULAR TELECOMMUNICATIONS DIVISION INTERCONNECTION INFORMATION PACKET

...Telocator Network of America
2000 M Street, NW, Suite 230
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 467-4770

Information as of January 10, 1966
Available to parent systems in operation
that parent system in parentheses

(SOS) 23-4310

Landline Telephone Co. (SOS)
Contact: Dick Shorlin (201/589-8011)
Non-Wireline Contact: Dick Shorlin (201/589-8011)
Physical Interconnection: Type 2
Reciprocal Arrangement: based on carrier agreement
Comments: Ohio non-wireline carriers have filed a petition asking the Ohio PUC to mediate and, if necessary, arbitrate inter-connection negotiations.

Physical Interconnection: Type 2

Reciprocal Arrangement: based on carrier agreement

Comments: Ohio non-wireline carriers have filed a petition asking the Ohio PUC to mediate and, if necessary, arbitrate inter-connection negotiations.

Albany (44)
New York Telephone

San Maricita (687/272-8380)

Chris Witz (212/315-7444)

Mountain Bell

Allentown (58)

Ball 31 Pennsylvania

Waynes Creek (919/378-9089)

Mountain Bell

Allentown (58)

Non-Wireline Contact

Landline Telephone Co.

Allentown (58)

Comments

Physical Interconnection

Reciprocal Arrangement

Comments

Ohio Bell has not acknowledged any reciprocal compensation obligation with Southern Bell (Southern Bell is preparing to file for PAX-type service in Florida and North Carolina).

Participant in Ohio P.U.C. proceeding (See Akron)

Ohio Bell has not acknowledged any reciprocal compensation obligation with Southern Bell (Southern Bell is preparing to file for PAX-type service in Florida and North Carolina).

Participant in Ohio P.U.C. proceeding (See Akron)

Southern Bell is considering making Type 2 arrangements in Ohio. Ohio Bell is currently providing a PAX-type service in Florida and North Carolina.

Participant in Ohio P.U.C. proceeding (See Akron)

Southern Bell is considering making Type 2 arrangements in Ohio. Ohio Bell is currently providing a PAX-type service in Florida and North Carolina.

Participant in Ohio P.U.C. proceeding (See Akron)

Southern Bell is considering making Type 2 arrangements in Ohio. Ohio Bell is currently providing a PAX-type service in Florida and North Carolina.

Participant in Ohio P.U.C. proceeding (See Akron)

Southern Bell is considering making Type 2 arrangements in Ohio. Ohio Bell is currently providing a PAX-type service in Florida and North Carolina.

Participant in Ohio P.U.C. proceeding (See Akron)

Southern Bell is considering making Type 2 arrangements in Ohio. Ohio Bell is currently providing a PAX-type service in Florida and North Carolina.

Participant in Ohio P.U.C. proceeding (See Akron)

Company	Leadline Telephone Co.	Non-Wireless Contact Ref.	Physical Disconnection	Compensation Arrangement	Comments
Cincinnati Bell (23)	Leadline Telephone Co.	Chris Witzel (212/319-7444)	Physical Disconnection	Compensation Arrangement	Participant in pending PUC proceeding (see Akron)
South Central Bell	South Central Bell	Ken Mahamura (202/703-1500)	Physical Disconnection	Compensation Arrangement	Participant in pending PUC proceeding (see Akron)
Illinois Bell	Illinois Bell	Brian Moternan (312/628-7000)	Physical Disconnection	Compensation Arrangement	Participant in pending PUC proceeding (see Akron)
Cincinnati Bell	Cincinnati Bell	Sally Bloomfield (616/227-2368)	Physical Disconnection	Compensation Arrangement	Participant in pending PUC proceeding (see Akron)
Ohio Bell	Ohio Bell	Sally Bloomfield (616/227-2368)	Physical Disconnection	Compensation Arrangement	Participant in pending PUC proceeding (see Akron)
Columbus (31)	Ohio Bell	Sally Bloomfield (616/227-2368)	Physical Disconnection	Compensation Arrangement	Participant in pending PUC proceeding (see Akron)

Market	Landline Telephone Co.	Non-Miriline Contact	Physical Interconnection	Compensation Arrangement	Comments
Buffet (19)	Southwestern Bell	Don Venturini (214/991-9866)	Type 2	PAT-type arrangement proposed by Southwestern Bell, currently under nationwide negotiative	Southwestern Bell has persistently refused to acknowledge reciprocity obligation in compensation
Buffet (19)	Ohio Bell	Billy Bloomfield (614/227-2368)	Type 2 and expects to receive from Ohio Bell	PAT-type arrangement proposed by Ohio Bell	Participant in pending RUC proceeding (see Akron)
Denver (19)	Mountain Bell	Murt Meese (206/451-9217)	Type 2	Negotiations just underway. Initial Mountain Bell proposal encouraging, not strictly PAT-type arrangement	
Detroit (15)	Michigan Bell	Jim Alato (313/423-9300)	Type 1; expect Type 2 to be provided	PAT-type tariffs Michigan Bell reciprocal compensation arrangement	Carrier may file a petition to the Michigan RUC
El Paso (10)	Southwestern Bell	Chris Witze (212/319-7444)	Currently negotiating Type 2 compensation arrangement	SMR proposing PAT-type compensation arrangement, currently in negotiation	SMR regionally focuses to recognize reciprocity obligation

<u>Market</u>	<u>Landline Telephone Co.</u>	<u>Non-Wireline Contact</u>	<u>Physical Interconnection</u>	<u>Compensation Arrangement</u>	<u>Comments</u>
Flint (66)	Michigan Bell	Jim Blake (303/423-8200)	Type 1; expect Type 2 to be provided upon request	PNX-type tariff; Michigan Bell to propose reciprocal compensation arrangement	Carrier may file a petition to the Michigan PUC
Fresno (74)	Pacific Bell	Kurt Nass (206/451-9217)			No interconnection activity to date
Gary (54)	Indiana Bell	Brian McTernan (312/828-7888)			
Grand Rapids (64)	Michigan Bell	Jim Blake (303/423-8200)	Anticipate type 2 will be provided	Michigan Bell has proposed a PNX-type arrangement	See Detroit, above
Greensboro (47)	Southern Bell	Bill Boyce (919/668-3600)			Proceeding regarding cellular compensation currently pending before N.C. PUC
Greenville (47)	Southern Bell	Chris Mitze (212/319-7444)	Anticipate SR will provide Type 2	SR has filed a PNX-type tariff for cellular	Expect lengthy regulatory proceeding on compensation issues

Carrier	Local Office	Non-Utility Contact	Physical Interconnection	Compensation Arrangement	Comments
Indianapolis (20)	Indianapolis Bell	Dick Barnaby (317/253-3300)	Type 2 to be provided pursuant to settlement of FCC complaint by cellular carrier	PNX-type tariff proposed by Indiana Bell, challenged by cellular carrier in state regulatory proceeding. Indiana PUC to resolve shortly	Indiana Bell has steadfastly refused to acknowledge reciprocity obligation in compensation
Houston (10)	Houston Bell	Richard Mirch (713/558-4551)	Type 2	SMN has proposed a PAX-type arrangement. Parties currently negotiating	SMN regionally refuses to acknowledge reciprocity
Memphis (50)	Memphis Bell	Alan Amstein (800/526-4200)	Currently negotiating for Type 2	Compensation arrangement under negotiation	
Sanford (10)	Southern New England Telephone	Chris Milco (212/318-7444)	Anticipate Type 2 will be provided	PNX-type arrangement proposed by telco	SMN's position is that they don't want to take the lead in acknowledging reciprocity
Washington (10)	Washington Bell	Wayne Griffin (301/378-8000)	Type 1; Bell of PA refused Type 2 request	PNX-type arrangement	Carrier expects to request compensative reciprocity

Market	Local Office	Leadline Telephone Co.	Wire-Mireline Contact	Physical - Interconnect link	Compensation Arrangement	Comments
Missouri	Jackman Village Missouri	Southern Bell	Chuck Cooper (305/422-5678)	No activity to date	SMU entered in- to settlement with GCCs and Miami non- Wireline carrier based on P2H-type arrangement; an- ticipate it will seek similar arrangement here	
Missouri	Johnston City (85)	Southwestern Bell	Chuck Cooper (305/422-5678)			
Missouri	Kansas City (24)	Southwestern Bell	Hurt Means (206/451-9217)	Type 2	SMU has proposed P2H-type arrange- ment, currently in negotiations	
Missouri	Knoxville (79)	South Central Bell	Chuck Cooper (305/422-5678)			
Missouri	Lanating (78)	Michigan Bell	Jim Blake (307/423-8200)	Anticipate Type 2 will be pro- vided	Michigan Bell has proposed a P2H-type arrange- ment	SMU Detroit

<u>Market</u>	<u>Exchange Telephone Co.</u>	<u>Non-Wireline Contact</u>	<u>Physical Interconnection</u>	<u>Compensation Arrangement</u>	<u>Comments</u>
Los Angeles (72)	New Jersey Telephone Co.	Michael Schwartz (609/340-1400)	Type 2 will be provided pursuant to contract	Concarrier agreement reached on compensation	
Los Angeles (72)	Pacific Bell	John Bourg (213/271-1266)	Pacific Bell has refused Type 2 request	PBX-type arrangement proposed, currently in negotiations	Pacific Bell has stated that it views the cellular carrier as a hybrid, between an interexchange carrier and local exchange carrier
Louisville (37)	South Central Bell	Larry Anderson (502/267-2355)			
Memphis (16)	South Central Bell	Chuck Cooper (305/422-5678)			
Miami (12)	Southern Bell	Bill Ford (305/782-2355)	Southern Bell has agreed to provide Type 2 when the Technical Advisory regarding the feasibility of such interconnection is issued by Bellcore	Currently PBX-type tariff, Type 2 compensation arrangement to be determined	SB has adamantly rejected the concept of reciprocity; current status pursuant to settlement agreement signed by carrier to avoid possible higher charges imposed by Florida PUC

(36/4311-2010)
 Larry Anderson (36/267-2355)
 Chuck Cooper (36/422-5678)
 Bill Ford (36/782-7355)
 Southern Bell
 South Central Bell
 Wisconsin Bell
 Northwestern Bell
 South Central Bell
 New England Telephone
 New Jersey Bell
 New Brunswick
 Memphis
 Nashville
 Louisville
 Knoxville
 Chattanooga
 Birmingham
 Atlanta
 Dallas
 Houston
 San Antonio
 San Diego
 Los Angeles
 Phoenix
 Portland
 Seattle
 Tacoma
 Vancouver
 San Francisco
 Oakland
 Sacramento
 San Jose
 San Luis Obispo
 Santa Barbara
 Santa Cruz
 Stockton
 Ukiah
 Yuba City
 Chico
 Eureka
 Redding
 Medford
 Ashland
 Klamath Falls
 Grants Pass
 Medford
 Ashland
 Klamath Falls
 Grants Pass

SA has adamantly re-
 flected the concept of
 reciprocity; current
 status pursuant to
 settlement agreement
 signed by carrier to
 avoid possible higher
 charges imposed by
 Florida PUC

Currently PAX-
 type tariff,
 Type 2 compensa-
 tion arrangement
 to be determined

Southern Bell
 has agreed to
 provide Type 2
 when the Tech-
 nical Advisory
 Board regarding the
 feasibility of
 such inter-connection is (CVI)
 issued by Bell-
 core

Minnesota PUC
 proceeding on-
 going since
 mid-1980 con-
 cerning MIB
 proposed PAX-
 type tariff

Minnesota PUC
 proceeding on-
 going since
 mid-1980 con-
 cerning MIB
 proposed PAX-
 type tariff

MIB now also propos-
 ing to charge recur-
 ring charge for
 dedicated MIB

Market	Leadline Telephone Co.	Non-Wireline Contact	Physical Interconnection	Compensation Arrangement	Comments
Minneapolis (211)	Wisconsin Bell	Pick Winters (414/703-5000)	Type 1	PAX-type arrangement	
Minneapolis (115)	Northwestern Bell	Kurt Maass (206/451-9217)	Type 2	Minnesota PUC proceeding on-going since mid-1980 concerning MIB proposed PAX-type tariff	MIB now also proposing to charge recurring charge for dedicated MIB
Mobile (103)	South Central Bell	Mike Caffarel (201/975-5000)			
Nashville (166)	South Central Bell	Chuck Cooper (305/422-5678)			
New Bedford (176)	New England Telephone	Chris Witze (212/319-7400)	New England Telephone has indicated resistance to Type 2 in initial stages of contact		
New Brunswick (162)	New Jersey Bell	Michael Schwartz (609/348-1400)	Type 2 will be provided pursuant to contract	Co-carrier agreement reached on compensation	

New Jersey Bell side of New York MSA more reasonable -- New Jersey Bell provides Type 2 physical connections and acknowledges reciprocity in compensation

Bell Atlantic claims to be considering "policy decision" on Type 2 request

PA2-type arrangement proposed by New York Telephone

PA2-type arrangement proposed by CAP - no reciprocity

Type 1; Type 2 request rejected by New York Telephone

Type 1; Type 2 request rejected

Don Olera (215/666-9858)

Marion Vande Stadt (800/473-9688)

New York Telephone New Jersey Bell South Central Bell Post-poned Bell

Southwest Bell

New York Telephone New Jersey Bell South Central Bell Post-poned Bell

Southwest Bell

New York Telephone New Jersey Bell South Central Bell Post-poned Bell

Southwest Bell

New York Telephone New Jersey Bell South Central Bell Post-poned Bell

Southwest Bell

Comments
Carrier may seek reciprocity on compensation

Interconnection Arrangement
PA2-type arrangement

Physical Interconnection
PA2-type arrangement

Non-Wireline Contact
Kurt Massa (206/451-9217)

Telephone Co.
Southwestern Bell

Telephone Co.
Southwestern Bell

Telephone Co.
Southwestern Bell

Telephone Co.
Southwestern Bell

Carrier attempting to impose feature on Group #

Currently in negotiation, but rejecting reciprocity concept

PA2-type arrangement

PA2-type arrangement

PA2-type arrangement

PA2-type arrangement

PA2-type arrangement

PA2-type arrangement

Carrier intends to seek Type 2 and reciprocal compensation arrangement

No activity to date

Type 1; Inter-ested in Type 2 but uncertain about MSA position

Winnie Kinnerman (212/607-0055)

Northwestern Bell

Northwestern Bell

Northwestern Bell

Northwestern Bell

Carrier attempting to impose feature on Group #

Currently in negotiation, but rejecting reciprocity concept

PA2-type arrangement

PA2-type arrangement

PA2-type arrangement

PA2-type arrangement

PA2-type arrangement

PA2-type arrangement

Carrier attempting to impose feature on Group #

Currently in negotiation, but rejecting reciprocity concept

PA2-type arrangement

PA2-type arrangement

PA2-type arrangement

PA2-type arrangement

PA2-type arrangement

PA2-type arrangement

See Jacksonville

Chuck Cooper (305/422-5670)

Southern Bell

Southern Bell

Southern Bell

Southern Bell

Stanley Harris (895/526-3773)

Pacific Bell

Pacific Bell

Pacific Bell

Pacific Bell

Market Area	Leadline Telephone Co.	Non-Wireline Contact	Physical Interconnection	Compensation Arrangement	Comments
Philadelphia (4)	Bell of Pennsylvania	Don Olza (215/66-9858)	Type 2 will be provided	Bell of PA and New Jersey Bell have proposed Feature Group B-type of access charge arrangement, including carrier common line charges currently under negotiation	Proposal acknowledges reciprocity on compensation
Phoenix (26)	Mountain Bell	Chris Witte (212/319-7444)	Type 2	Co-carrier agreement acknowledging reciprocal compensation obligations	Highly favorable to cellular carrier, relationship similar to AT&T/independent telephone company arrangements
Pittsburgh (11)	Bell of Pennsylvania	Murt Mease (206/451-9217)	Tentative agreement on Type 2	See Philadelphia For Bell of PA proposal	
Portland (30)	Pacific Northwest Bell	Murt Mease (206/451-9217)	Type 1; Type 2 to be provided in 1984	PSI-type arrangement under contract	

Highly favorable to cellular carrier, relationship similar to BOC/independent telephone company arrangements

Co-carrier agreement acknowledging reciprocal compensation obligations

Type 2

Chris Wize (212/319-7444)

Mountain Bell

See Philadelphia for Bell of PA proposal

Tentative agreement on Type 2

Murt Mease (206/451-9217)

Northwest Bell

PAK-type arrangement under contract

Type 1, Type 2 to be provided in 1986

Murt Mease (206/451-9217)

Pacific Northwest Bell

Comments

Compensation Arrangement

Physical Interconnection

Negotiations just getting underway

New England Telephone initially resistant to Type 2 request

Chris Wize (212/319-7444)

New England Telephone

Providence (308)

SB rejecting reciprocal compensation concept

SB has proposed PAK-type arrangement, currently being challenged in State RUC proceeding

Type 1, Type 2 request rejected

Doug Trachtenmiller (919/401-1101)

Southern Bell

Raleigh (711)

Currently under negotiation, cellular carrier has proposed reciprocal arrangement

CSP initially resistant to Type 2 request

Jim Dwyer (813/489-1600)

CSP

Richmond (59)

Currently under negotiation, cellular carrier has proposed reciprocal arrangement

CSP initially resistant to Type 2 request

Jack Parkins (203/453-9119)

Rochester Telephone

Rochester (34)

No activity to date

Kurt Mease (206/451-9217)

Pacific Bell

Sacramento (35)

Market	Landline Telephone Co.	Non-Wireline Contact	Physical Interconnection	Compensation Arrangement	Comments
Salt Lake City (39)	Mountain Bell	Kurt Mease (206/451-9217)	No activity to date	No activity to date	
San Antonio (13)	Southwestern Bell	Kurt Mease (206/451-9217)	No activity to date	San Antonio market encompassed in regional negotiations with SWS, which has proposed PAX-type arrangement	
San Diego (18)	Pacific Bell	Fran Hopkins (214/968-1977)	Type 2 "trial," according to Pacific Bell	Unknown	Non-wireline license has been sold to NewVector, subject to regulatory and court approval. Not mentioned
San Francisco (7)	Pacific Bell	James Dixon (415/571-1515)	Type 2 requested; Pacific Bell response unclear to date		
San Jose (27)	Pacific Bell	James Dixon (415/571-1515)	Type 2 requested; Pacific Bell response unclear to date		

Market	Landline Telephone Co.	Non-Wireline Contact	Physical Interconnection	Compensation Arrangement	Comments
Seattle (28)	Pacific Northwest Bell	Kurt Mease (206/451-9217)	Type 1; conversion to Type 2 expected in 1986	PAX-type arrangement under contract	Pacific Northwest Bell position on reciprocity matter not final until August. Does state 2
Springfield (63)	New England Telephone Corp.	Chris Witze (212/319-7444)	New England Telephone re-plant to Type 2 request	Negotiations just underway	Currently in
	Southwestern	Steve Skinner	Type 1; SMS		

Non-wireline license has been sold to NewVector. subject to regulatory and court approvals

Unknown

Type 2 "trial" according to Pacific Bell

Frank Hopkins (215/968-9771)

Pacific Bell

San Diego

12/14/81

Type 2 requested; Pacific Bell response unclear to date

James Dixon (415/571-1815)

Pacific Bell

San Francisco

12/14/81

Type 2 requested; Pacific Bell response unclear to date

James Dixon (415/571-1815)

Pacific Bell

San Diego

Comments

Compensation Arrangement

Physical Interconnecting

Non-Wireline Contact

Headline Telephone Co.

Pacific Northwest Bell position on reciprocity uncertain

PAT-type arrangement under contract

Type 1; conversion to Type 2 expected in 1986

Kurt Means (206/451-9217)

Pacific Northwest Bell

Seattle (20)

Negotiations just underway

New England Telephone assistant to Type 2 request

Chris Mize (312/319-7664)

New England Telephone

Springfield (618)

Currently in negotiations regionally with SBB, which does not recognize reciprocity and has proposed PAT-type arrangement

Type 1; non-cooperative regarding type 2

Steve Skinner (314/460-4664)

Southern Bell

St. Louis (314)

See Seattle

See Seattle

Ken Morowitz (687/272-9300)

New York Telephone

Syracuse (315)

Tacoma (82)

Pacific Northwest Bell

	<u>Landline Telephone Co.</u>	<u>Non-Wireline Contact</u>	<u>Physical Interconnecting</u>	<u>Compensation Arrangement</u>	<u>Comments</u>
Texas (57)	GTS	Chuck Cooper (305/422-5670)			
Washington (8)	Ohio Bell	Jim Blake (303/423-0200)	Ohio Bell re- jecting Type 2 request	Ohio Bell has proposed PAX-type arrangement, refuses to recognize reciprocity	
Tucson (77)	Mountain Bell	Chris Wills (312/319-7444)	Type 2	Co-carrier, reci- procal agreement in place	Highly favorable to cellular carrier, resembling independ- ent telephone com- pany relationship
Tulsa (57)	Southeastern Bell	Bill Babb (918/504-2663)			
Washington (8)	C&P	Kathryn Condello (301/904-6033)	Type 1	PAX-type arrangement	Negotiations in progress over Type 2 availability and reciprocal compen- sation arrangement
West Palm Beach (73)	Southern Bell	Kent Nakamura (202/703-1500)	Type 3 will be requested Southern Bell appears to be reluctant	Preliminary contacts with Southern Bell regarding usage- sensitive tariff	

